The Basic New Keynesian Model

by

Jordi Galí

June 2008

Motivation and Outline

Evidence on Money, Output, and Prices:

- Short Run Effects of Monetary Policy Shocks
 - (i) persistent effects on real variables
 - (ii) slow adjustment of aggregate price level
 - (iii) liquidity effect
- Micro Evidence on Price-setting Behavior: significant price and wage rigidities

Failure of Classical Monetary Models

- A Baseline Model with Nominal Rigidities
- \bullet monopolistic competition
- sticky prices (staggered price setting)
- competitive labor markets, closed economy, no capital accumulation

Figure 1. Estimated Dynamic Response to a Monetary Policy Shock



Source: Christiano, Eichenbaum and Evans (1999)

Figure 1 - Examples of individual price trajectories (French and Italian CPI data)



Note : Actual examples of trajectories, extracted from the French and Italian CPI databases. The databases are described in Baudry *et al.* (2004) and Veronese *et al.* (2005). Prices are in levels, denominated in French Francs and Italian Lira respectively. The dotted lines indicate events of price changes.

Price of an Italian hairdresser (left axis) - Price of a French hairdresser (right axis)

01-94 01-95 01-96 01-97 01-98 01-99 01-00 01-01 01-02 01-03

Source: Dhyne et al. WP 05

Households

Representative household solves

$$\max E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \ U\left(C_t, N_t\right)$$

where

$$C_t \equiv \left[\int_0^1 C_t(i)^{1-\frac{1}{\epsilon}} di \right]^{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-1}}$$

subject to

$$\int_0^1 P_t(i) \ C_t(i) \ di + Q_t \ B_t \le B_{t-1} + W_t \ N_t - T_t$$

for t = 0, 1, 2, ... plus solvency constraint.

Optimality conditions

1. Optimal allocation of expenditures

$$C_t(i) = \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t}\right)^{-\epsilon} C_t$$

implying

$$\int_0^1 P_t(i) \ C_t(i) \ di = P_t \ C_t$$

where

$$P_t \equiv \left[\int_0^1 P_t(i)^{1-\epsilon} di\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}}$$

2. Other optimality conditions

$$-\frac{U_{n,t}}{U_{c,t}} = \frac{W_t}{P_t}$$
$$Q_t = \beta \ E_t \left\{ \frac{U_{c,t+1}}{U_{c,t}} \ \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \right\}$$

Specification of utility:

$$U(C_t, N_t) = \frac{C_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} - \frac{N_t^{1+\varphi}}{1+\varphi}$$

implied log-linear optimality conditions (aggregate variables)

$$w_t - p_t = \sigma \ c_t + \varphi \ n_t$$
$$c_t = E_t \{ c_{t+1} \} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \ (i_t - E_t \{ \pi_{t+1} \} - \rho)$$

where $i_t \equiv -\log Q_t$ is the nominal interest rate and $\rho \equiv -\log \beta$ is the discount rate.

Ad-hoc money demand

$$m_t - p_t = y_t - \eta \ i_t$$

Firms

- Continuum of firms, indexed by $i \in [0, 1]$
- Each firm produces a differentiated good
- Identical technology

$$Y_t(i) = A_t \ N_t(i)^{1-\alpha}$$

- Probability of resetting price in any given period: 1θ , independent across firms (Calvo (1983)).
- $\bullet \; \theta \in [0,1]$: index of price stickiness
- Implied average price duration $\frac{1}{1-\theta}$

Aggregate Price Dynamics

$$P_t = \left[\theta \ (P_{t-1})^{1-\epsilon} + (1-\theta) \ (P_t^*)^{1-\epsilon}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}}$$

Dividing by P_{t-1} :

$$\Pi_t^{1-\epsilon} = \theta + (1-\theta) \left(\frac{P_t^*}{P_{t-1}}\right)^{1-\epsilon}$$

Log-linearization around zero inflation steady state

$$\pi_t = (1 - \theta) \ (p_t^* - p_{t-1}) \tag{1}$$

or, equivalently

$$p_t = \theta \ p_{t-1} + (1-\theta) \ p_t^*$$

Optimal Price Setting

$$\max_{P_t^*} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k E_t \left\{ Q_{t,t+k} \left(P_t^* Y_{t+k|t} - \Psi_{t+k}(Y_{t+k|t}) \right) \right\}$$

subject to

$$Y_{t+k|t} = (P_t^*/P_{t+k})^{-\epsilon} C_{t+k}$$

for k = 0, 1, 2, ... where

$$Q_{t,t+k} \equiv \beta^k \left(\frac{C_{t+k}}{C_t}\right)^{-\sigma} \left(\frac{P_t}{P_{t+k}}\right)$$

Optimality condition:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k \ E_t \left\{ Q_{t,t+k} \ Y_{t+k|t} \ \left(P_t^* - \mathcal{M} \ \psi_{t+k|t} \right) \right\} = 0$$

where $\psi_{t+k|t} \equiv \Psi_{t+k}'(Y_{t+k|t})$ and $\mathcal{M} \equiv \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon - 1}$

Equivalently,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k E_t \left\{ Q_{t,t+k} Y_{t+k|t} \left(\frac{P_t^*}{P_{t-1}} - \mathcal{M} M C_{t+k|t} \Pi_{t-1,t+k} \right) \right\} = 0$$

where $MC_{t+k|t} \equiv \psi_{t+k|t}/P_{t+k}$ and $\Pi_{t-1,t+k} \equiv P_{t+k}/P_{t-1}$

Perfect Foresight, Zero Inflation Steady State:

$$\frac{P_t^*}{P_{t-1}} = 1 \quad ; \quad \Pi_{t-1,t+k} = 1 \quad ; \quad Y_{t+k|t} = Y \quad ; \quad Q_{t,t+k} = \beta^k \quad ; \quad MC = \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}}$$

Log-linearization around zero inflation steady state:

$$p_t^* - p_{t-1} = (1 - \beta\theta) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\beta\theta)^k E_t \{ \widehat{mc}_{t+k|t} + p_{t+k} - p_{t-1} \}$$

where $\widehat{mc}_{t+k|t} \equiv mc_{t+k|t} - mc$.

Equivalently,

$$p_t^* = \mu + (1 - \beta\theta) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\beta\theta)^k E_t \{ mc_{t+k|t} + p_{t+k} \}$$

where $\mu \equiv \log \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon - 1}$. Flexible prices $(\theta = 0)$: $p_t^* = \mu + mc_t + p_t$

 $\implies mc_t = -\mu \text{ (symmetric equilibrium)}$

Particular Case: $\alpha = 0$ (constant returns)

$$\implies MC_{t+k|t} = MC_{t+k}$$

Rewriting the optimal price setting rule in recursive form:

$$p_t^* = \beta \theta \ E_t \{ p_{t+1}^* \} + (1 - \beta \theta) \ \widehat{mc}_t + (1 - \beta \theta) p_t \tag{2}$$

Combining (1) and (2):

$$\pi_t = \beta \ E_t\{\pi_{t+1}\} + \lambda \ \widehat{mc}_t$$

where

$$\lambda \equiv \frac{(1-\theta)(1-\beta\theta)}{\theta}$$

Generalization to $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ (decreasing returns) Define

$$mc_t \equiv (w_t - p_t) - mpn_t$$

$$\equiv (w_t - p_t) - \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} (a_t - \alpha y_t) - \log(1 - \alpha)$$

Using
$$mc_{t+k|t} = (w_{t+k} - p_{t+k}) - \frac{1}{1-\alpha} (a_{t+k} - \alpha y_{t+k|t}) - \log(1-\alpha),$$

$$mc_{t+k|t} = mc_{t+k} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} (y_{t+k|t} - y_{t+k}) = mc_{t+k} - \frac{\alpha\epsilon}{1-\alpha} (p_t^* - p_{t+k})$$
(3)

Implied inflation dynamics

$$\pi_t = \beta \ E_t\{\pi_{t+1}\} + \lambda \ \widehat{mc}_t \tag{4}$$

where

$$\lambda \equiv \frac{(1-\theta)(1-\beta\theta)}{\theta} \frac{1-\alpha}{1-\alpha+\alpha\epsilon}$$

Equilibrium

Goods markets clearing

$$Y_t(i) = C_t(i)$$

for all $i \in [0, 1]$ and all t.

Letting
$$Y_t \equiv \left(\int_0^1 Y_t(i)^{1-\frac{1}{\epsilon}} di\right)^{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-1}},$$

 $Y_t = C_t$

for all t. Combined with the consumer's Euler equation:

$$y_t = E_t \{ y_{t+1} \} - \frac{1}{\sigma} (i_t - E_t \{ \pi_{t+1} \} - \rho)$$
(5)

Labor market clearing

$$N_t = \int_0^1 N_t(i) \, di$$

= $\int_0^1 \left(\frac{Y_t(i)}{A_t}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} di$
= $\left(\frac{Y_t}{A_t}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t}\right)^{-\frac{\epsilon}{1-\alpha}} di$

Taking logs,

$$(1-\alpha) n_t = y_t - a_t + d_t$$

where $d_t \equiv (1 - \alpha) \log \int_0^1 (P_t(i)/P_t)^{-\frac{\epsilon}{1-\alpha}} di$ (second order). Up to a first order approximation:

$$y_t = a_t + (1 - \alpha) \ n_t$$

Marginal Cost and Output

$$mc_{t} = (w_{t} - p_{t}) - mpn_{t}$$

$$= (\sigma y_{t} + \varphi n_{t}) - (y_{t} - n_{t}) - \log(1 - \alpha)$$

$$= \left(\sigma + \frac{\varphi + \alpha}{1 - \alpha}\right) y_{t} - \frac{1 + \varphi}{1 - \alpha} a_{t} - \log(1 - \alpha)$$
(6)

Under *flexible prices*

$$mc = \left(\sigma + \frac{\varphi + \alpha}{1 - \alpha}\right) y_t^n - \frac{1 + \varphi}{1 - \alpha} a_t - \log(1 - \alpha)$$
(7)

$$\implies y_t^n = -\delta_y + \psi_{ya} a_t$$

where $\delta_y \equiv \frac{(\mu - \log(1 - \alpha))(1 - \alpha)}{\sigma + \varphi + \alpha(1 - \sigma)} > 0$ and $\psi_{ya} \equiv \frac{1 + \varphi}{\sigma + \varphi + \alpha(1 - \sigma)}$.

$$\implies \widehat{mc}_t = \left(\sigma + \frac{\varphi + \alpha}{1 - \alpha}\right) \ (y_t - y_t^n) \tag{8}$$

where $y_t - y_t^n \equiv \widetilde{y}_t$ is the *output gap*

New Keynesian Phillips Curve

$$\pi_t = \beta \ E_t \{ \pi_{t+1} \} + \kappa \ \widetilde{y}_t \tag{9}$$

where $\kappa \equiv \lambda \left(\sigma + \frac{\varphi + \alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right).$

Dynamic IS equation

$$\widetilde{y}_t = E_t \{ \widetilde{y}_{t+1} \} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(i_t - E_t \{ \pi_{t+1} \} - r_t^n \right)$$
(10)

where r_t^n is the *natural rate of interest*, given by

$$r_t^n \equiv \rho + \sigma \ E_t \{ \Delta y_{t+1}^n \} \\ = \rho + \sigma \psi_{ya} \ E_t \{ \Delta a_{t+1} \}$$

Missing block: description of monetary policy (determination of i_t).

Equilibrium under a Simple Interest Rate Rule

$$i_t = \rho + \phi_\pi \ \pi_t + \phi_y \ \widetilde{y}_t + v_t \tag{11}$$

where v_t is exogenous (possibly stochastic) with zero mean.

Equilibrium Dynamics: combining (9), (10), and (11)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{y}_t \\ \pi_t \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{A}_T \begin{bmatrix} E_t \{ \widetilde{y}_{t+1} \} \\ E_t \{ \pi_{t+1} \} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{B}_T \left(\widehat{r}_t^n - v_t \right)$$
(12)

where

$$\mathbf{A}_T \equiv \Omega \begin{bmatrix} \sigma & 1 - \beta \phi_\pi \\ \sigma \kappa & \kappa + \beta (\sigma + \phi_y) \end{bmatrix} ; \quad \mathbf{B}_T \equiv \Omega \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \kappa \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\Omega \equiv \frac{1}{\sigma + \phi_y + \kappa \phi_\pi}$

Uniqueness $\iff \mathbf{A}_T$ has both eigenvalues within the unit circle Given $\phi_{\pi} \ge 0$ and $\phi_y \ge 0$, (Bullard and Mitra (2002)):

$$\kappa (\phi_{\pi} - 1) + (1 - \beta) \phi_y > 0$$

is necessary and sufficient.

Effects of a Monetary Policy Shock

Set $\hat{r}_t^n = 0$ (no real shocks).

Let v_t follow an AR(1) process

$$v_t = \rho_v \ v_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^v$$

Calibration:

$$\rho_v = 0.5, \, \phi_\pi = 1.5, \, \phi_y = 0.5/4, \, \beta = 0.99, \, \sigma = \varphi = 1, \, \theta = 2/3, \, \eta = 4.$$

Dynamic effects of an exogenous increase in the nominal rate (Figure 1). Exercise: analytical solution

Figure 3.1: Effects of a Monetary Policy Shock (Interest Rate Rule))



Effects of a Technology Shock Set $v_t = 0$ (no monetary shocks). Technology process:

$$a_t = \rho_a \ a_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^a.$$

Implied natural rate:

$$\widehat{r}_t^n = -\sigma\psi_{ya}(1-\rho_a) \ a_t$$

Dynamic effects of a technology shock ($\rho_a = 0.9$) (Figure 2) Exercise: AR(1) process for Δa_t

Figure 3.2: Effects of a Technology Shock (Interest Rate Rule)



Equilibrium under an Exogenous Money Growth Process

$$\Delta m_t = \rho_m \ \Delta m_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^m \tag{13}$$

Money market clearing

$$\widehat{l}_t = \widehat{y}_t - \eta \,\widehat{i}_t \tag{14}$$

$$= \widetilde{y}_t + \widehat{y}_t^n - \eta \ \widehat{i}_t \tag{15}$$

where $l_t \equiv m_t - p_t$ denotes (log) real money balances. Substituting (14) into (10):

$$(1+\sigma\eta) \quad \widetilde{y}_t = \sigma\eta \ E_t\{\widetilde{y}_{t+1}\} + \widehat{l}_t + \eta \ E_t\{\pi_{t+1}\} + \eta \ \widehat{r}_t^n - \widehat{y}_t^n \qquad (16)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\widehat{l}_{t-1} = \widehat{l}_t + \pi_t - \Delta m_t \tag{17}$$

Equilibrium dynamics

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M},\mathbf{0}} \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{y}_t \\ \pi_t \\ \widehat{l}_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M},\mathbf{1}} \begin{bmatrix} E_t \{ \widetilde{y}_{t+1} \} \\ E_t \{ \pi_{t+1} \} \\ \widehat{l}_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{M}} \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{r}_t^n \\ \widehat{y}_t^n \\ \Delta m_t \end{bmatrix}$$
(18)

where

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M},\mathbf{0}} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1+\sigma\eta & 0 & 0\\ -\kappa & 1 & 0\\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M},\mathbf{1}} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \sigma\eta & \eta & 1\\ 0 & \beta & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{M}} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \eta & -1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Uniqueness $\iff A_M \equiv A_{M,0}^{-1} A_{M,1}$ has two eigenvalues inside and one outside the unit circle.

Effects of a Monetary Policy Shock Set $\hat{r}_t^n = y_t^n = 0$ (no real shocks).

Money growth process

where $\rho_m \in [0, 1)$

$$\Delta m_t = \rho_m \ \Delta m_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^m \tag{19}$$

where $\rho_m \in [0, 1)$
Figure 3 (based on $\rho_m = 0.5$)

Effects of a Technology Shock Set $\Delta m_t = 0$ (no monetary shocks). Technology process:

$$a_t = \rho_a \ a_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^a.$$

Figure 4 (based on $\rho_a = 0.9$). Empirical Evidence







Figure 3.4: Effects of a Technology Shock (Money Growth Rule)





FIGURE 4. ESTIMATED IMPULSE RESPONSES FROM A FIVE-VARIABLE MODEL: U.S. DATA, FIRST-DIFFERENCED HOURS (POINT ESTIMATES AND ±2 STANDARD ERROR CONFIDENCE INTERVALS) SOURCE: Galí (1999)

Technical Appendix

Optimal Allocation of Consumption Expenditures

Maximization of C_t for any given expenditure level $\int_0^1 P_t(i) C_t(i) di \equiv Z_t$ can be formalized by means of the Lagrangean

$$\mathcal{L} = \left[\int_0^1 C_t(i)^{1-\frac{1}{\epsilon}} di\right]^{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-1}} - \lambda \left(\int_0^1 P_t(i) C_t(i) di - Z_t\right)$$

The associated first order conditions are:

$$C_t(i)^{-\frac{1}{\epsilon}} C_t^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} = \lambda P_t(i)$$

for all $i \in [0, 1]$. Thus, for any two goods (i, j) we have:

$$C_t(i) = C_t(j) \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t(j)}\right)^{-\epsilon}$$

which can be plugged into the expression for consumption expenditures to yield

$$C_t(i) = \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t}\right)^{-\epsilon} \frac{Z_t}{P_t}$$

for all $i \in [0, 1]$. The latter condition can then be substituted into the definition of C_t , yielding

$$\int_0^1 P_t(i) \ C_t(i) \ di = P_t \ C_t(i)$$

Combining the two previous equations we obtain the demand schedule:

$$C_t(i) = \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t}\right)^{-\epsilon} C_t$$

Log-Linearized Euler Equation

We can rewrite the Euler equation as

$$1 = E_t \{ \exp(i_t - \sigma \Delta c_{t+1} - \pi_{t+1} - \rho) \}$$
(20)

In a perfect foresight steady state with constant inflation π and constant growth γ we must have:

$$i = \rho + \sigma \gamma + \pi$$

with the steady state real rate being given by

$$r \equiv i - \pi \\ = \rho + \sigma \gamma$$

A first order Taylor expansion of $\exp(i_t - \sigma \Delta c_{t+1} - \pi_{t+1} - \rho)$ around that steady state yields:

$$\exp(i_t - \sigma \Delta c_{t+1} - \pi_{t+1} - \rho) \simeq 1 + (i_t - i) - \sigma (\Delta c_{t+1} - \gamma) - (\pi_{t+1} - \pi) \\ = 1 + i_t - \sigma \Delta c_{t+1} - \pi_{t+1} - \rho$$

which can be used in (20) to obtain, after some rearrangement of terms, the log-linearized Euler equation

$$c_t = E_t\{c_{t+1}\} - \frac{1}{\sigma} (i_t - E_t\{\pi_{t+1}\} - \rho)$$

Aggregate Price Level Dynamics

Let $S(t) \subset [0,1]$ denote the set of firms which do not re-optimize their posted price in period t. The aggregate price level evolves according to

$$P_t = \left[\int_{S(t)} P_{t-1}(i)^{1-\epsilon} di + (1-\theta) (P_t^*)^{1-\epsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}} \\ = \left[\theta (P_{t-1})^{1-\epsilon} + (1-\theta) (P_t^*)^{1-\epsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}}$$

where the second equality follows from the fact that the distribution of prices among firms not adjusting in period t corresponds to the distribution of effective prices in period t - 1, with total mass reduced to θ .

Equivalently, dividing both sides by P_{t-1} :

$$\Pi_t^{1-\epsilon} = \theta + (1-\theta) \left(\frac{P_t^*}{P_{t-1}}\right)^{1-\epsilon}$$
(21)

where $\Pi_t \equiv \frac{P_t}{P_{t-1}}$. Notice that in a steady state with zero inflation $P_t^* = P_{t-1}$. Log-linearization around a zero inflation ($\Pi = 1$) steady state implies:

$$\pi_t = (1 - \theta) \ (p_t^* - p_{t-1}) \tag{22}$$

Price Dispersion

From the definition of the price index:

$$1 = \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{P_{t}(i)}{P_{t}}\right)^{1-\varepsilon} di$$

= $\int_{0}^{1} \exp\{(1-\epsilon)(p_{t}(i)-p_{t})\} di$
 $\simeq 1 + (1-\epsilon) \int_{0}^{1} (p_{t}(i)-p_{t}) di + \frac{(1-\epsilon)^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} (p_{t}(i)-p_{t})^{2} di$

thus implying the second order approximation

$$p_t \simeq E_i \{ p_t(i) \} + \frac{(1-\epsilon)}{2} \int_0^1 (p_t(i) - p_t)^2 di$$

where $E_i\{p_t(i)\} \equiv \int_0^1 p_t(i) \, di$ is the cross-sectional mean of (log) prices. In addition,

$$\begin{split} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t}\right)^{-\frac{\epsilon}{1-\alpha}} di &= \int_0^1 \exp\left\{-\frac{\epsilon}{1-\alpha} \left(p_t(i)-p_t\right)\right\} di \\ &\simeq 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{1-\alpha} \int_0^1 (p_t(i)-p_t) di + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{1-\alpha}\right)^2 \int_0^1 (p_t(i)-p_t)^2 di \\ &\simeq 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}{1-\alpha} \int_0^1 (p_t(i)-p_t)^2 di + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{1-\alpha}\right)^2 \int_0^1 (p_t(i)-p_t)^2 di \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{1-\alpha}\right) \frac{1}{\Theta} \int_0^1 (p_t(i)-p_t)^2 di \\ &\simeq 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{1-\alpha}\right) \frac{1}{\Theta} \operatorname{var}_i\{p_t(i)\} > 1 \end{split}$$

where $\Theta \equiv \frac{1-\alpha}{1-\alpha+\alpha\epsilon}$, and where the last equality follows from the observation that, up to second order,

$$\int_0^1 (p_t(i) - p_t)^2 di \simeq \int_0^1 (p_t(i) - E_i \{ p_t(i) \})^2 di$$

$$\equiv var_i \{ p_t(i) \}$$

Finally, using the definition of d_t we obtain

$$d_t \equiv (1 - \alpha) \log \int_0^1 \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t}\right)^{-\frac{\epsilon}{1 - \alpha}} di \simeq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\epsilon}{\Theta} \ var_i\{p_t(i)\}$$